STATISTICAL LEARNING SYSTEMS LECTURE 9: FINDING STRUCTURE IN DATA - contd.

Jacek Koronacki

Institute of Computer Science, Polish Academy of Sciences

Ph. D. Program 2013/2014





UNIA EUROPEJSKA EUROPEJSKI FUNDUSZ SPOŁECZNY

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3



We start with the model

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{e},$$

where we not only assume that the data are centered, but also that the $x^{(i)}$, i = 1, ..., p, have unit variance and are uncorrelated. Moreover, for simplicity, we assume that Δ is a $p \times p$ matrix, $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0}$ and none of the $x^{(i)}$ has normal distribution. In fact, therefore, our model is:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{\Delta} \mathbf{z}.$$
 (1)

The task is to find such Δ that the $z^{(i)}$ are mutually independent (Δ is nonestimable if at least 2 of the $z^{(i)}$ are normal). Clearly, since we assume that the data are spherical, Δ is orthogonal, and hence, once found, we have

$$z = \Delta' x.$$



Recall that the entropy of a random *p*-vector \mathbf{z} with joint density $f(\mathbf{z})$ is (for convenience, we assume that \mathbf{z} has continuous distribution):

$$H(\mathbf{z}) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{z}) \log f(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}.$$

Mutual information between the $z^{(i)}$, i = 1, ..., p, and z is:

$$I(z^{(1)},\ldots,z^{(p)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} H(z^{(i)}) - H(z).$$

It is zero if and only if the $z^{(i)}$ are mutually independent. It is also equal to the Kullback-Leibler divergence (or Kullback-Leibler distance) of f(z) from

$$f_1(z^{(1)}) f_2(z^{(2)}) \cdots f_p(z^{(p)}).$$



This last fact readily follows from the definition of the Kullback-Leibler divergence of density $g_1(\mathbf{v})$ from density $g_2(\mathbf{v})$ on R^p :

$$\delta(g_1,g_2) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty g_1(\mathbf{v}) \log rac{g_1(\mathbf{v})}{g_2(\mathbf{v})} d\mathbf{v}.$$

It is zero if and only if the two densities are equal. Moroever,

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |g_1(\mathbf{v})-g_2(\mathbf{v})|d\mathbf{v}\leqslant \sqrt{2\delta(g_1,g_2)}.$$







イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

э

Let us return to (2). Orthogonality of matrix $\mathbf{\Delta}'$ implies that the mutual information between the $z^{(i)}$ satisfies the following equality

$$I(z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(p)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} H(z^{(i)}) - H(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} H(z^{(i)}) - H(\mathbf{x}) - \log |\det \Delta'|.$$
(3)

Minimizing (3) w.r.t. Δ' is equivalent to finding such a transformation of the original data that the new features $z^{(i)}$ are as close to mutual independence as possible. Note also that minimizing (3) amounts to minimizing the sum of entropies $H(z^{(i)})$, i.e. to maximizing the distance (when measured by entropy) between the distributions of the $z^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, p$ and a normal distribution (with the same covariance).



This last fact is of crucial importance: Most of the algorithms designed to perform ICA, i.e., to find matrix Δ , are based on maximizing the distance (however understood) between the latent variables $z^{(i)}$ and a normal distribution; e.g., classical algorithms seek the maximum absolute value of kurtosis of the $z^{(i)}$. Generally speaking, such algorithms always rest on the ideas from nonlinear programming, in particular gradient or Newton-like algorithms.

An interesting non-classical algorithm, in which the problem of maximizing (3) is directly addressed, has been proposed in [HTF].





Dissimiliarity measure does not need to be a metric (triangle inequality does not need to be satisfied).

For vectors in R^p : any metric on R^p may be considered; for quantitative features on different scales weighted Euclidean distance is appropriate

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (\sum_{i=1}^{p} w_i^2 (x_i - y_i)^2)^{1/2}$$

where w_i is either (standard deviation of i^{th} variable)⁻¹ or (range)⁻¹.







For vectors with binary (0 and 1) coordinates: $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_p)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_p)$ we define

$$a = \#\{x_i = 1 \& y_i = 1\}, \qquad b = \#\{x_i = 0 \& y_i = 1\}; \\ c = \#\{x_i = 1 \& y_i = 0\}, \qquad d = \#\{x_i = 0 \& y_i = 0\}.$$

Dissimilarity measures for binary data:

- Normalized Hamming distance: $\frac{b+c}{a+b+c+d}$
- Jacquard: <u>b+c</u> (lack of occurence of a feature does not make objects more similar)

• Czekanowski:
$$1 - \frac{2a}{2a+b+c}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 シのので

The project is co-financed by the European Union within the framework of European Social Fund

KAPITAŁ LUDZK

For qualitative vectors having more than two levels:

$$1 - \frac{\# \text{coordinates having the same value}}{\# \text{coordinates}}$$

Gower coefficients for mixed variables:

We assume that the coefficient is normalized, i.e., its values are from the [0, 1] interval, and we start with (partial) similarities s_{ijk} between the *i*-th and *j*-th element in the sample calculated coordinatewise for each *k*-th feature (coordinate), k = 1, ..., p. The s_{ijk} are assumed to be normalized too and they are related to the corresponding (partial) dissimilarities d_{ijk} between the *i*-th and *j*-th element along the *k*-th feature (coordinate) by equation

$$s_{ijk} = 1 - d_{ijk}$$
.

PhD STUDIES



UNIA EUROPEJSKA EUROPEJSKI FUNDUSZ SPOŁECZNY

We allow that the comparison between a pair of elements along one or more coordinates is impossible. Accordingly, we define coefficient δ_{ijk} and, if the comparison between the *i*-th and *j*-th elements along the *k*-th coordinate is impossible, we set $\delta_{ijk} = 0$ (s_{ijk} is then unknown, but for reasons that will prove obvious we set $s_{ijk} = 0$); otherwise, $\delta_{ijk} = 1$.



The project is co-financed by the European Union within the framework of European Social Fund

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

We define

$$s_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} s_{ijk} / \sum_{k=1}^{p} \delta_{ijk}, \qquad d_{ij} = 1 - s_{ij},$$
 (4)

where

$$s_{ijk} = 1 - rac{|x_i^{(k)} - x_j^{(k)}|}{ ext{range of } k ext{-th variable}},$$

for quantitative variables,

$$s_{ijk} = egin{cases} 1, & ext{if } \mathbf{x}_i^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}_j^{(k)} \ 0, & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for qualitative variables, and

	<i>k</i> -th variable's value			
<i>i</i> -th observation	1	1	0	0
<i>j</i> -th observation	1	0	1	0
$m{s}_{ijk} \ \delta_{ijk}$	1 1	0 1	0 1	0 0

for binary variables.

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

2

Let d_{ij} , i, j = 1, ..., n, be Euclidean distances between observations \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_j in R^p . Let our task consist in finding a subspace R^r of a fixed dimension r, r < p, such that the distances \hat{d}_{ij} between the projections of \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_j on this subspace make the following sum minimal

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (d_{ij}^2 - \hat{d}_{ij}^2).$$
 (5)

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● ● のへで

Interestingly, the R^r sought is given by the first r principal components for \mathbf{x}_i , i = 1, ..., n. Actually the task described is the task of the so-called multidimensional scaling in the particular case when distances are Euclidean. In general, the task of (metric) multidimensional scaling is the same, albeit for any given dissimilarity matrix.

Remark: Note that, in fact, in all generality we even do not have to know the x_i , but only the dissimilarities between them.

Multidimensional scaling (MDS)

In whatever way we have acquired dissimilarity matrix $[d_{ij}]$, i, j = 1, ..., n, a question worth an answer is the following:

given dissimilarity matrix $[d_{ij}]$, is it possible to find R^s of some dimension s and a set of n points in this space such that Euclidean distances between these points, \tilde{d}_{ij} , i, j = 1, ..., n, form matrix $[d_{ij}]$, i.e., $\tilde{d}_{ij} = d_{ij}$, i, j = 1, ..., n?

If yes, given the space R^s with the given property, is it possible, for any natural number u, u < s, to find a set of n points in R^u such that Euclidean distances between these points, \hat{d}_{ij} , minimize V defined by (5)?







During the lecture, we shall briefly discuss these issues (not forgetting about a discussion on how to verify results obtained [e.g., by properly using a minimum spanning tree of the original data]).

We shall also mention the problem of nonmetric MDS.







・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3